

**MENTIGA CORPORATION BERHAD**  
(Company No 10289 - K and its Subsidiaries)  
**LIST OF MATERIAL LITIGATIONS OF THE GROUP AS AT 31 OCTOBER, 2009.**

SUMMONS ISSUED AGAINST MCB:

| NO | PLAINTIFF / LAWYER :   | DEFENDEN / LAWYER:                     | AMOUNT CLAIM / COURT:   | REMARKS   |
|----|--|--|---|---|
| 1  | <p>KEKWA KREATIF SDN. BHD. / RAJADEVAN &amp; ASSOC.</p> <p>KEKWA CLAIM AGAINST MENTIGA IS FOR THE BALANCE OF PAYMENT DUE FOR WORK DONE IN DEVELOPING PUSAT PERANGANAN PULAU SIBU MERSING" VIA CONTRACT NO MCB/UP/SC/C130 YEAR 1996 PLUS INTEREST OF 4% PER ANNUM FROM THE DATE OF JUDGEMENT UNTIL REALIZATION.</p> | <p>MESSRS VENDARGON &amp; PARTNERS</p> | <p>RM 5,549,625.00</p> <p>KTN HIGH COURT CIVIL SUIT NO; 22 - 5 - 2000</p> | <p>THE NEXT HEARING DATE IS FIXED ON 02.03.2010.</p>  |
| 2  | <p>HO KOK HING t/a TRICO CONSTRUCTION CO</p> <p>THE PLAINTIFF IS A SUB-CONT TO KEKWA KREATIF SDN BHD AND HAS ON 8.2.1999 CLAIMED THE SUM OF RM26,169.20 AGAINST MENTIGA FOR WORK DONE. THE SUIT WAS DISMISSED BY THE SESSIONS COURT BUT THE PLAINTIFF HAS APPEAL TO THE HIGH COURT.</p>                            | <p>MESSR RADZI &amp; ABDULLAH</p>      | <p>RM26,169.20</p>  | <p>THE HIGH COURT KTN ON 22.12.2008 HAS BEEN DECIDED IN FAVOUR OF MENTIGA CORP BHD.</p> <p>PLAINTIFF IS IN THE PROCESS OF APPEAL.</p> <p>THE APPEAL COURT HAS YET TO FIX THE DATE.</p>  |
| 3  | <p>SPECIFICATION CLAIMS/ MESSR MURA RAJU</p> <p>MENTIGA HAS BEEN SUED BY A TOTAL OF 170 HOUSE PURCHASERS CLAIMING THAT THE HOUSES HAVE NOT BEEN BUILT ACCORDING TO SPECIFICATIONS UNDER THE SUNGAI ISAP DAMAI PROJECT. THE TOTAL AMOUNT CLAIMED BY THE PURCHASERS IS RM5,196,040.29.</p>                           | <p>MESSR RADZI &amp; ABDULLAH</p>      | <p>RM5,196,040.29</p>   | <p>MCB HAS LOST THE CASE AND OUR SOLICITOR'S HAS FILE A NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE HIGH COURT ON 03.04.2008.</p> <p>LATEST TOTAL CLAIM SUBMITTED AS AT 19 JUNE 2009 IS RM 8,639,327.12.</p> <p>THE NEXT HEARING DATE IS FIXED ON 22.03.2010.</p> |

**MENTIGA CORPORATION BERHAD**  
 (Company No 10289 - K and its Subsidiaries)  
**LIST OF MATERIAL LITIGATIONS OF THE GROUP AS AT 31 OCTOBER, 2009.**

SUMMONS ISSUES BY MCB

| NO | PLAINTIFF / LAWYER :  | DEFENDEN / LAWYER:                                    | AMOUNT CLAIM / COURT:   | REMARKS   |
|----|---|---|---|---|
| 1  | <p>HARANAY, HISHAM, ANIKAH &amp; ASSOCIATES</p> <p>MENTIGA IS CLAIMING FOR THE SUM OF RM768,000.00 AS AT 18 OCTOBER 2002 AGAINST VC FOR BREACH OF THE SALE AND PURCHASE AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO BY THE PARTIES ON 22 NOVEMBER 1996. JUDGEMENT IN DEFAULT WAS OBTAINED AGAINST VC ON 18 OCTOBER 2002.</p> | <p>VC CONSTRUCTION SDN BHD /<br/>TUN AHMAD FADZIL</p> | <p>RM768,000.00</p> <p>KTN.(H) COURTS</p> <p>SUIT NO : 22-39-2002</p> | <p>ON 07.11.02, THE JUDGEMENT WAS SERVED ON VC WHO HAD THEN FAILED TO COMPLY WITH THE SAID JUDGEMENT. ON 28.04.2005 MENTIGA INSTRUCTED MESSRS HARANAY TO PROCEED WITH WINDING UP. MENTIGA'S SOLICITORS HAS SENT NOTICE UNDER SEC.218 CO. ACT 1965 TOGETHER WITH JUDGEMENT DATED 18.10.2006.</p> <p>ON 09.07.09, HIGH COURT KUANTAN HAS GRANTED THE WINDING UP ORDER TOWARD THE COMPANY.</p> <p>PENDING ISSUANCE OF JUDGEMENT ORDER CERTIFICATE BY HIGH COURT.</p> |

**MENTIGA CORPORATION BERHAD**  
(Company No 10289 - K and its Subsidiaries)  
**LIST OF MATERIAL LITIGATIONS OF THE GROUP AS AT 31 OCTOBER, 2009.**

SUMMONS ISSUES BY MCB

| NO | PLAINTIFF / LAWYER :  | DEFENDEN / LAWYER:   | AMOUNT CLAIM / COURT: | REMARKS  |
|----|---|--|-----------------------|--|
| 2  | HARANAY, HISHAM, ANIKAH & ASSOCIATES.<br>MENTIGA IS CLAIMING FOR THE AMOUNT OF RM8,380,132.00 IN RESPECT OF THE FOLLOWING;<br>a) BALANCE OF PROGRESS PAYMENT FOR WORK DONE IN CONSTRUCTING A RESORT IN PULAU SIBU RM1,744,606.14<br>b) RETENTION SUM RM1,292,532.96<br>c) CLAIM FOR VARIATION ORDER RM3,500,000.00<br>d) BALANCE SUM FOR ADDITIONAL LANDSCAPE WORKS RM 213,520.10<br>e) CLAIM FOR RETURN OF MONEY WRONGFULLY DEDUCTED FROM THE PROGRESS CLAIMS RM 429,652.80<br>f) APPEAR FOR WAIVER OF LIQUIDATED AND ASCERTAINED DAMAGES RM1,200,000.00 | KUMPULAN PENAMBANG (JOHORE) SDN. BHD. / REGINALD VALLIPURAM & CO. (JOHOR BAHRU). | RM8,380,132.00        | BASED ON THE FACTS AND DOCUMENTS PRESENTED, THE SOLICITORS HANDLING THE CASE ARE OF THE OPINION THAT MENTIGA HAS A GOOD CLAIM. MAHINDAR & CO HAS WITHDRAWN FROM REPRESENTING MCB AS DATO' MAHINDAR'S HEALTH IS NOT VERY WELL. NEW SOLICITOR HARANAY, HISHAM, ANIKAH & ASSOCIATES HAS BEEN APPOINTED BY MCB. HARANAY, HISHAM, ANIKAH & ASSOCIATES HAS WRITTEN TO PAM (PERSATUAN ARKITEK MALAYSIA) ON 09.01.2008 AND PAM HAS CONFIRMED THAT THE ARBITRATION IS STILL PENDING VIDE PAM SUIT NO : 449. MENTIGA SOLICITOR'S WRITTEN TO MESSRS MAHINDAR & CO ON 31.01.2008 & REMINDER DATED 09.04.2008 ASKING FOR ORIGINAL COPY OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, BUT SO FAR OUR SOLICITOR'S HAVE YET TO RECEIVE ANY DOCUMENT FROM MESSRS MAHINDAR & CO.<br><br>DISCUSSION WAS HELD BETWEEN DATO' MAHINDAR AND HISHAM OF HARANAY & COMPANY REGARDING IN THIS MATTER. THE NEXT MEETING IS ON 23/11/2009. |